Exhibit A

	Case 5:25-cr-00096-PA Docur	ment 25-1 Filed 08/11/25 #:103	5 Page 2 of 12 Page ID		
1 2	Iboh Umodu Deputy Federal Public Defender				
3	Office of the Federal Public Defender Central District of California 321 E. 2 nd Street				
5	Los Angeles, CA 90020 213-894-7566 Iboh umodu@fd.org				
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
7 8	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No. 5:25-cr	-00096-PA		
10	Plaintiff,				
11	vs.		OTION TO COMPEL FULL BRADY AND		
12	FRANK EDWARD PETERS, II,	GIGLIO DISCI	LOSURE, SBA AND DOJ		
13	Defendant	INTERNAL GU COMMUNICA			
14		POZUELOS' C	OF DETECTIVE LORI OMMUNICATIONS WITH		
15 16		FBI AND DOJ I AND RULE 16	PURSUANT TO BRADY		
17		CURRENT TR 16, 2025	IAL DATE: SEPTEMBER		
18					
19	TO THE HONORABLE COURT, UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS, AND ALL				
20	INTERESTED PARTIES:				
21	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Defend	dant Frank Edward Peters II.	respectfully moves this Court		
22	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Defendant Frank Edward Peters II, respectfully moves this Court for an order compelling the government to produce all materials, communications, reports,				
23	referrals, emails, memoranda, or summaries involving California Department of Insurance				
24	Detective Lori Pozuelos and any federal law enforcement agency—including but not limited to				
25	the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), the Small Business Administration (SBA), and the				
26	U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)—that relate to the investigation, referral, evaluation, or				
27 28	NOTICE OF MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY, FULL BRADY AND GIGLIO DISCLOSURE, SBA AND DOJ INTERNAL GUIDANCE AND COMMUNICATIONS AND PRODUCTION OF DETECTIVE LORI POZUELOS' COMMUNICATIONS WITH FBI AND DOJ PURSUANT TO BRADY AND RULE 16CURRENT TRIAL DATE: SEPTEMBER 16, 2025 - 1				

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 16 Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963), and Giglio v. United States, 405 U.S. 150 (1972), including production of all all internal guidance, training materials, interagency communications, and records relied upon by the discovery, exculpatory evidence, and impeachment materials in the custody of the Department of Justice, Small Business Administration, Federal Bureau of Investigation regarding the administration, audit, and enforcement of PPP and EIDL programs during 2020–2022, and or any referring state agency, including but not limited to the California Department of Insurance and Detective Lori Pozuelos. This motion is based on the attached Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the files and records in this case, and any oral argument the Court may allow.

July 12, 2025

Iboh Umodu Deputy Federal Public Defender

NOTICE OF MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY, FULL BRADY AND GIGLIO DISCLOSURE, SBA AND DOJ INTERNAL GUIDANCE AND COMMUNICATIONS AND PRODUCTION OF DETECTIVE LORI POZUELOS' COMMUNICATIONS WITH FBI AND DOJ PURSUANT TO BRADY AND RULE 16CURRENT TRIAL DATE: SEPTEMBER 16, 2025 - 2

1 2 3 4 5	Iboh Umodu Deputy Federal Public Defender Office of the Federal Public Defender Central District of California 321 E. 2 nd Street Los Angeles, CA 90020 213-894-7566 Iboh_umodu@fd.org		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7 8	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No. 5:25-cr-00096-PA	
10	Plaintiff,		
11	VS.	MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY,	
12	FRANK EDWARD PETERS, II,	FULL BRADY AND GIGLIO DISCLOSURE, SBA AND DOJ	
13	Defendant	INTERNAL GUIDANCE AND COMMUNICATIONS AND	
14		PRODUCTION OF DETECTIVE LORI POZUELOS' COMMUNICATIONS WITH	
15		FBI AND DOJ PURSUANT TO BRADY AND RULE 16; MEMORANDUM AND	
16		POINTS OF AUTHORITIES; DECLARATION	
17			
18		CURRENT TRIAL DATE: SEPTEMBER 16, 2025	
19	TO THE HOLOD AD F GOLDT		
20	TO THE HONORABLE COURT, UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS, AND ALL INTERESTED PARTIES:		
21	INTERESTED FARTIES.		
23	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Defendant Frank Edward Peters II, respectfully moves this Court		
24	for an order compelling the government to produce all materials, communications, reports,		
25	referrals, emails, memoranda, or summaries involving California Department of Insurance		
26	Detective Lori Pozuelos and any federal law enforcement agency—including but not limited to		
27 28	MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY, FULL BRADY AND GIGLIO DISCLOSURE, SBA AND DOJ INTERNAL GUIDANCE AND COMMUNICATIONS AND PRODUCTION OF DETECTIVE LORI POZUELOS' COMMUNICATIONS WITH FBI AND DOJ PURSUANT TO BRADY AND RULE 16; MEMORANDUM AND POINTS OF AUTHORITIES; DECLARATIONCURRENT TRIAL DATE: SEPTEMBER 16, 2025 - 1		

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), the Small Business Administration (SBA), and the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)—that relate to the investigation, referral, evaluation, or prosecution of the defendant in connection with PPP and EIDL loans. These are required under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 16 Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963), and Giglio v. United States, 405 U.S. 150 (1972), including production of all all internal guidance, training materials, interagency communications, and records relied upon by the discovery, exculpatory evidence, and impeachment materials in the custody of the Department of Justice, Small Business Administration, Federal Bureau of Investigation regarding the administration, audit, and enforcement of PPP and EIDL programs during 2020–2022, and or any referring state agency, including but not limited to the California Department of Insurance and Detective Lori Pozuelos. This motion is based on the attached Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the files and records in this case, and any oral argument the Court may allow.

July 12, 2025

Iboh Umodu Deputy Federal Public Defender

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. INTRODUCTION

This motion seeks the compelled disclosure of investigative materials, internal communications, and SBA validation records directly related to the origin, scope, and evidentiary development of the case against Frank Edward Peters II. The prosecution alleges Defendant submitted fraudulent PPP and EIDL applications. However, the eligibility and forgiveness rules for these programs were complex, evolving, and inconsistently applied. To prepare an adequate defense, Defendant must receive internal SBA and DOJ documents that reflect actual standards used during the relevant time.

The Defendant is entitled to exculpatory evidence, materials bearing on credibility, and documents essential to due process and confrontation rights under **Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963).** The government has a continuing constitutional duty to disclose all evidence favorable to the accused, including exculpatory information and material that may impeach government witnesses. This duty extends to all branches of the prosecution team, including agencies involved in the investigation. Defendant requests a court order ensuring full Brady and Giglio compliance before trial.

Detective Lori Pozuelos was the lead state investigator in a related case against the Defendant in California, which involved overlapping factual allegations about the legitimacy of Frannon Inc. and Bqute Inc. Her investigative conclusions appear to have directly influenced the initiation of this federal matter. Defendant seeks disclosure of all relevant communications under Brady v. Maryland, Rule 16 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and the Jencks Act.

II. DISCOVERY REQUESTED

- 1. All investigative reports, memos, emails, or recordings created by or referencing Detective Lori Pozuelos regarding Frank Peters, Frannon Inc., or Bqute Enterprises Inc.
- 2. Any communication between CDI, FBI, DOJ, and SBA referring to the above-named entities or individuals.
- MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY, FULL BRADY AND GIGLIO DISCLOSURE, SBA AND DOJ INTERNAL GUIDANCE AND COMMUNICATIONS AND PRODUCTION OF DETECTIVE LORI POZUELOS' COMMUNICATIONS WITH FBI AND DOJ PURSUANT TO BRADY AND RULE 16; MEMORANDUM AND POINTS OF AUTHORITIES; DECLARATIONCURRENT TRIAL DATE: SEPTEMBER 16, 2025 3

- 3. All SBA communications, memos, and 4506-T records related to loan approvals for Frannon or Bqute.
- 4. Records of any collaboration between DOJ and California EDD regarding alleged unemployment overlaps.
- 5. Internal DOJ memos discussing whether Shannon Prince-Peters or Bqute Inc. were to be charged or referenced.
- 6. Any investigative summary or financial audit conducted prior to federal indictment.
- 7. Loan processing records, notes, and certifications maintained by the SBA in approving EIDL or PPP loans.

III. LEGAL STANDARD

Under Rule 16(a)(1)(E), the government must produce any documents 'material to preparing the defense.' Brady requires disclosure of all exculpatory evidence, and Giglio extends that to materials that may impeach government witnesses or undermine theories of intent or knowledge.

IV. ARGUMENT

Under **Brady v. Maryland**, **373 U.S. 83 (1963)**, the prosecution is obligated to disclose evidence favorable to the accused that is material either to guilt or punishment.

Communications between Pozuelos and federal authorities, particularly those that reveal: (a) the basis for the referral, (b) prejudgments about the defendant or the businesses involved, or (c) inconsistencies or doubts in law enforcement communications, are discoverable and may support suppression, impeachment, or motions to dismiss.

Rule 16(a)(1)(E) also mandates disclosure of documents and objects material to the preparation of the defense, including any communications that led to federal charges. The referral history and evolution of the investigation are critical to this defense.

1	
2	cooperation a
3	
4	States v. Sud
5	1500 (D.C. C
6	
7	Courts have h
8	enforcement a
9	514 U.S. 419
10	
11	Such material
12	legitimacy, pi
13	memos sugge
14	
15	
16	This material
17	Sudikoff, 36
18	1172 (9th Cir
19	The earlier th
20	government n
21	
22	request violat
23	Brady v. Ma
24	
25	including imp

27

28

Courts have compelled similar disclosures in cases involving inter-agency cooperation and where state-federal investigative handoffs shaped the indictment. See United States v. Sudikoff, 36 F. Supp. 2d 1196 (C.D. Cal. 1999); United States v. Brooks, 966 F.2d 1500 (D.C. Cir. 1992).

A. The Government's Duty Extends to All Investigative Agencies Courts have held that the Brady obligation applies not only to the prosecutors but to any law inforcement agency or government office involved in the investigation. See **Kyles v. Whitley**, 314 U.S. 419 (1995).

B. Defense Has Reason to Believe Exculpatory and Impeachment Material Exists

Such materials include inconsistencies in SBA enforcement, statements showing business legitimacy, prior misconduct or bias of state investigators who referred to the case, and internal memos suggesting leniency or good faith treatment of similar cases.

C. Rule 16, Brady, Giglio All Apply and Due Process Require Prompt Disclosure

This material is both exculpatory and essential to preparing for trial. See United States v.

Sudikoff, 36 F. Supp. 2d 1196, 1203–04 (C.D. Cal. 1999); United States v. Olsen, 704 F.3d 1172 (9th Cir. 2013).

The earlier the disclosure, the more meaningful the protection of due process rights. The government must not delay until trial.

V. LEGAL STANDARD AND QUOTED CASE LAW

"The suppression by the prosecution of evidence favorable to an accused upon request violates due process where the evidence is material either to guilt or to punishment." — **Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83, 87 (1963)**

"A defendant's right to discover evidence that may be favorable to his defense, including impeachment evidence, is grounded in due process." — United States v. Bagley, 473 U.S. 667, 676 (1985)

of:

15

14

17

16

18 19

20

22

21

2324

25

26

2728

"The government has a duty to learn of any favorable evidence known to others acting on the government's behalf in the case." — **Kyles v. Whitley, 514 U.S. 419, 437 (1995)**

"Evidence affecting credibility falls within Brady's rule." — **Giglio v. United States, 405 U.S. 150, 154 (1972)**

Brady v. Maryland requires the government to disclose evidence favorable to the defense where it is material to guilt or punishment. **Giglio v. United States** extends this duty to information that could impeach government witnesses or undermine the credibility of agents, including information about bias, misconduct, or contradictory policies.

VI. REQUESTED RELIEF

Defendant respectfully moves the Court to compel the production and disclosure

- All SBA internal policy memos, guidance, or training on PPP/EIDL eligibility and forgiveness
- All DOJ/FBI instructions, strategy memos, or interagency correspondence relating to pandemic fraud enforcement during 2020–2022
- Any internal communications about backdated taxes, good faith certifications, or related defenses
- Any guidance sent to lenders, banks, or processors about ambiguous application components
- Any materials used to train SBA agents, investigators, or auditors
- Communications referencing Frank Peters, Frannon Inc., or Bqute Inc.
- Any exculpatory evidence showing business legitimacy, compliance, or good faith
- Any documents showing ambiguity, inconsistency, or retroactive changes in SBA policy
- Any impeachment evidence about government witnesses, including prior misconduct, bias, or inconsistent statements
- Any internal documents or emails showing doubt or reservations about the merits of this case
- Any communications involving the referral of this case by state actors known to have committed misconduct
- Any evidence contradicting the theory of knowing or willful fraud

Case 5:25-cr-00096-PA Document 25-1 Filed 08/11/25 Page 10 of 12 Page ID #:111

VII. CONCLUSION

For these reasons, the Defendant respectfully moves this Court to order the United States to produce all written, oral, or digital communications between Detective Lori Pozuelos and any federal law enforcement personnel that relate to the Defendant, Frannon Inc., Bqute Inc., or any COVID-19 relief program and any other discovery materials mentioned or not mentioned or identified above. This evidence is material to both the origins of the investigation and the factual integrity of the allegations at trial.

Date: July 04, 2025

Iboh Umodu Deputy Federal Public Defender

Date: July 04, 2025

Frank Edward Peters II Defendant

DECLARATION OF FRANK EDWARD PETERS II

I, Frank Edward Peters II, declare as follows:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 1. I am the Defendant in the above-entitled case.
- 2. I believe the prosecution's theory depends on narrow interpretations of SBA eligibility rules that were not consistently applied.
- 3. I am entitled to review internal SBA and DOJ guidance materials and interagency communications that were used during the relevant timeframe.
- 4. I respectfully request this Court compel the government to disclose the requested information.
- 5. I have not received full discovery regarding the origins and basis of this federal investigation.
- 6. I am aware that the investigation began from a referral made by Detective Lori Pozuelos of the California Department of Insurance.
- 7. I believe that misstatements were made by Detective Pozuelos to federal agencies regarding the legitimacy of Frannon Inc. and Bqute Inc.
- 8. I further believe the DOJ and SBA may possess exculpatory records including internal assessments, tax validation reviews, and inter-agency emails.
- 9. I believe material exists within the custody of the DOJ, SBA, FBI, and state agencies that is favorable to my defense or impeaches the credibility of key witnesses.
- 10. I have not received the full scope of Brady/Giglio disclosures required under constitutional law.
- 11. I request this Court compel production of all such materials so I may prepare my defense.

CATEGORIES OF BRADY/GIGLIO MATERIAL REQUESTED

- Category A SBA FAQs, guidance memos, internal policy communications (2020–2022) or
- DOJ guidance showing ambiguity or changes in eligibility standards
- Category B Communications indicating Defendant's businesses were treated as legitimate by banks, SBA, or auditors
- Category C Communications referencing Frank Peters, Frannon Inc., or Bqute Inc.
- MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY, FULL BRADY AND GIGLIO DISCLOSURE, SBA AND DOJ INTERNAL GUIDANCE AND COMMUNICATIONS AND PRODUCTION OF DETECTIVE LORI POZUELOS' COMMUNICATIONS WITH FBI AND DOJ PURSUANT TO BRADY AND RULE 16; MEMORANDUM AND POINTS OF AUTHORITIES; DECLARATIONCURRENT TRIAL DATE: SEPTEMBER 16, 2025 8

1	Category D – DOJ memos, internal audit protocols, or interagency referrals concerning			
2	PPP/EIDL			
345	Category E – Evidence that contradicts elements of intent, knowledge, or material falsity Category F – Records of any misconduct, discipline, or bias involving Detective Lori Pozuelos or related agents			
6	Category G – Internal DOJ/SBA doubts about the merit of this case or referral materials			
7	Category H – Training documents or video materials presented to SBA or DOJ agents			
8	Category I – Bank communications from Bank of America or lenders involving Frank Peters or			
9 10	his businesses			
1	LIST OF PROPOSED EXHIBITS			
12	Exhibit A – Indictment referencing investigation origins			
13	Exhibit B – Plea agreement referencing Person 1 and referral chain			
4	Exhibit C – Timeline showing referral by Pozuelos and federal indictment date			
15	Exhibit D – SBA 4506-T validation approvals			
16	Exhibit E – Public records confirming loan amounts and job counts			
17	Exhibit F – Declaration of Truthfully Filed but Late Tax Returns			
8	Exhibit G – No Fraud Evidentiary Summary			
9				
20	Executed on July 04, 2025, in Rancho Cucamonga, CA.			
21				
22	Frank Edward Peters II, Defendant			
23	Date: July 04, 2025			
24				
25	Iboh Umodu Deputy Federal Public Defender			
26				
27	MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY, FULL BRADY AND GIGLIO DISCLOSURE, SBA AND DOJ INTERNAL GUIDANCE AND COMMUNICATIONS AND PRODUCTION OF DETECTIVE LORI POZUELOS' COMMUNICATIONS WITH FBI AND DOJ PURSUANT TO BRADY AND RULE 16; MEMORANDUM AND POINTS OF AUTHORITIES; DECLARATIONCURRENT TRIAL DATE: SEPTEMBER 16, 2025 - 9			